## Student Satisfaction Survey

## Key Indicator - 2.7.1

## Under Criterion II of Teaching Learning and Evaluation

## Guidelines for Students:

NAAC (National Assessment and accreditation council) is conducting a Student Satisfaction Survey regarding Teaching Learning and Evaluation, which will help to upgrade the quality in higher education. A student will have to respond to all the questions given in the following format with her/his sincere effort and thought. Her/his identity will not be revealed.
A) Please confirm this is the first and only time you answer this survey.
a) Yes $\square$ b) No $\square$

Please confirm this is the first and only time you answer this survey

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | YES | 1325 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |

B) Age:

| Age Group |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | $17-18$ | 136 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 10.3 |
|  | $18-19$ | 258 | 19.5 | 19.5 | 29.7 |
|  | $19-20$ | 871 | 65.7 | 65.7 | 95.5 |
|  | $20-21$ | 25 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 97.4 |
|  | $21-22$ | 35 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1325 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |


C) College Name: ANDHRA LOYOLA COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS): VIJAYAWADA
D) Gender: a) Female
b) Male $\quad \square$
c) Transgender $\square$

E) What degree program are you pursuing now?
a) Bachelor's
b) Master's
c) M.Phil.
d) Doctorate
e) Other ( )

| What degree program are you pursuing now? |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Bachelor's | 1262 | 95.2 | 95.2 | 95.2 |
|  | Master's | 63 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1325 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  |  |  | program are | uing now? DBachelor's $\square$ Master's |  |

F) What subject area are you currently pursuing?
a) Arts
b) Commerce
c) Science
d) Professional
e) Other: ()

| What subject area are you currently pursuing? |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Arts | 115 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 |
|  | Science | 1064 | 80.3 | 80.3 | 89.0 |
|  | Commerce | 83 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 95.2 |
|  | Professional | 63 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 1325 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Instructions to fill the questionnaire

All questions should be compulsorily attempted.
Each question has five responses, choose the most appropriate one.
The response to the qualitative question no. 21 is Student's opportunity to give suggestions or improvements; she/he can also mention weaknesses of the institute here. (Kindly restrict your response to teaching learning process only)

## Criterion II Teaching Learning and Evaluation

## Student Satisfaction Survey on Teaching Learning Process

Following are questions for online student satisfaction survey regarding teaching learning process.

1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4-85 \text { to } 100 \% \\
& 3-70 \text { to } 84 \% \\
& 2-55 \text { to } 69 \% \\
& 1-30 \text { to } 54 \% \\
& 0-\text { Below } 30 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

| How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | $85 \%-100 \%$ | 1325 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?

$$
4 \text { - Thoroughly }
$$

3 - Satisfactorily
2 - Poorly
1 - Indifferently
0 - Won't teach at all

| How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Indifferently | 13 | 0.98113208 | 0.9811321 | 0.98113208 |
|  | Poorly | 6 | 0.45283019 | 0.4528302 | 1.43396226 |
|  | Satisfactory | 70 | 5.28301887 | 5.2830189 | 6.71698113 |
|  | Thoroughly | 1236 | 93.2830189 | 93.283019 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1325 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  |  | well did the | teachers pr $6$ <br> oorly | are for the <br> 70 | sses? |
| From | the analysis | it is obser the teach | that majo prepare f | portion of th the classes | students felt that |

3. How well were the teachers able to communicate?

4 - Always effective
3 - Sometimes effective
2 - Just satisfactorily
1 - Generally ineffective
0 - Very poor communication

| How well were the teachers able to communicate? |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Ge Inef | 6 | 0.45283019 | 0.4528302 | 0.45283019 |
|  | Just Sa | 17 | 1.28301887 | 1.2830189 | 1.73584906 |
|  |  | 70 | 5.28301887 | 5.2830189 | 7.01886792 |
|  | Alway | 1232 | 92.9811321 | 92.981132 | 100 |
|  |  | 1325 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 1400 \\ 1200 \\ 1000 \\ 800 \\ 600 \\ 400 \\ 200 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | How well | the teache municate? | able to | 2 <br> ffective |
| From the analysis, it is observed that majority of the students agreed that teacher is always effective to communicate. |  |  |  |  |  |

4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as

4 - Excellent
3 - Very good
2 - Good
1 - Fair
0 - Poor
The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Fair | 10 | 0.75471698 | 0.754717 | 0.75471698 |
|  | Good | 17 | 1.28301887 | 1.2830189 | 2.03773585 |
|  | Very Good | 80 | 6.03773585 | 6.0377358 | 8.0754717 |
|  | Excellent | 1218 | 91.9245283 | 91.924528 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1325 | 100 | 100 |  |

The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as


From the analysis, it is observed that the students felt that the teacher's approach to teaching is excellent.
5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \text { - Always fair } \\
& 3 \text { - Usually fair } \\
& 2 \text { - Sometimes unfair } \\
& 1 \text { - Usually unfair } \\
& 0 \text { - Unfair }
\end{aligned}
$$

| Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Unfair | 8 | 0.60377358 | 0.6037736 | 0.60377358 |
|  | Usually unfair | 12 | 0.90566038 | 0.9056604 | 1.50943396 |
|  | Sometimes fair | 78 | 5.88679245 | 5.8867925 | 7.39622642 |
|  | Usually fair | 1227 | 92.6037736 | 92.603774 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1325 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | Fair <br> Usually fair <br> metimes fair <br> sually unfair <br> Unfair | ess of the 78 <br> 2 200 | nternal eva teacher $\square$ | ation proce | by the |
| From the analysis, it is observed that a large portion of students felt that the internal evaluation by the teachers is always fair and a very few students felt that it is usually unfair. |  |  |  |  |  |

6. Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?

4 - Every time
3 - Usually
2 - Occasionally/Sometimes
1 - Rarely
0 - Never

| Was your performance in assignments discussed with you? |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Never | 7 | 0.52830189 | 0.5283019 | 0.52830189 |
|  | Rarely | 10 | 0.75471698 | 0.754717 | 1.28301887 |
|  | Occasionally/Sometimes | 87 | 6.56603774 | 6.5660377 | 7.8490566 |
|  | Usually | 1221 | 92.1509434 | 92.150943 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1325 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | Was your pe | formance | n assignm you? | ts discussed | with |
| From the analysis, it is observed that almost all the students agreed that their performance in assignments discussed with them. |  |  |  |  |  |

8. The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth..

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \text { - Significantly } \\
& 3 \text { - Very well } \\
& 2 \text { - Moderately } \\
& 1 \text { - Marginally } \\
& 0-\text { Not at all }
\end{aligned}
$$

The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.

|  |  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Not at all | 14 | 1.056603774 | 1.056603774 | 1.056603774 |
|  | Marginally | 16 | 1.20754717 | 1.20754717 | 2.264150943 |
|  | Moderately | 100 | 7.547169811 | 7.547169811 | 9.811320755 |
|  | Very well | 1195 | 90.18867925 | 90.18867925 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1325 | 100 | 100 |  |

The teaching and mentoring process in your institution
facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.


From the analysis, it is observed that almost all the students felt that the teaching and mentoring process in the institution facilitates the cognitive, social and emotional growth significantly.
9. The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.

4 - Strongly agree
3 - Agree
2 - Neutral
1 - Disagree
0 - Strongly disagree

| The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Strongly Disagree | 10 | 0.75472 | 0.7547 | 0.75472 |
|  | Disagree | 12 | 0.90566 | 0.9057 | 1.66038 |
|  | Neutral | 98 | 7.39623 | 7.3962 | 9.0566 |
|  | Agree | 1205 | 90.9434 | 90.943 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1325 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | The institution <br> Strongly Disagree | rovides m <br> Disagree | le oppor . <br> Neutral | nities to lear $\square$ <br> Agree | and |
| From the analysis, it is observed that every student strongly agreed that the institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow. |  |  |  |  |  |

10. Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \text { - Every time } \\
& 3 \text { - Usually } \\
& 2 \text { - Occasionally/Sometimes } \\
& 1 \text { - Rarely } \\
& 0 \text { - Never }
\end{aligned}
$$



From the analysis, it is observed that maximum of the students agreed that the teachers inform them about their expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes every time.
11. Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \text { - Every time } \\
& 3 \text { - Usually } \\
& 2 \text { - Occasionally/Sometimes } \\
& 1 \text { - Rarely } \\
& 0 \text { - I don't have a mentor }
\end{aligned}
$$

| Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | I d'nt have mentor | 14 | 1.056604 | 1.0566 | 1.056604 |
|  | Rarely | 8 | 0.603774 | 0.60377 | 1.660377 |
|  | Occasionally /Sometimes | 78 | 5.886792 | 5.88679 | 7.54717 |
|  | Usually | 1225 | 92.45283 | 92.4528 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1325 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | Your mentor | oes a neces ssigned tas | follow to you. | up with an |  |
| From the analysis, it is observed that almost all the students agreed that the mentor does the necessary follow-up with an assigned task to them every time. |  |  |  |  |  |

12. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \text { - Every time } \\
& 3 \text { - Usually } \\
& 2 \text { - Occasionally/Sometimes } \\
& 1 \text { - Rarely } \\
& 0 \text { - Never }
\end{aligned}
$$

| The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and application. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Usually | 6 | 0.45283 | 0.45283 | 0.45283 |
|  | Occasionally /Sometimes | 5 | 0.377358 | 0.37736 | 0.830189 |
|  | Usually | 80 | 6.037736 | 6.03774 | 6.867925 |
|  | Every time | 1234 | 93.13208 | 93.1321 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1325 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | The teach <br> Every time <br> Usually <br> ally /Sometimes <br> Usually | illustrate and <br> 80 | concepts lication. | ugh exa | ples |
| From the analysis, it is observed that almost all the students felt that the teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications every time. |  |  |  |  |  |

13. The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges.
4 - Fully
3 - Reasonably
2 - Partially
1 - Slightly
0 - Unable to

The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges.

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Unable | 9 | 0.679245 | 0.67925 | 0.679245 |
|  | Slightly | 10 | 0.754717 | 0.75472 | 1.433962 |
|  | Partially | 97 | 7.320755 | 7.32075 | 8.754717 |
|  | Reasonably | 1209 | 91.24528 | 91.2453 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1325 | 100 | 100 |  |

The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges.


From the analysis, it is observed that maximum of the students felt that teachers identify the students' strengths and encourage them with right level of challenges fully.
14. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \text { - Every time } \\
& 3 \text { - Usually } \\
& 2 \text { - Occasionally/Sometimes } \\
& 1 \text { - Rarely } \\
& 0 \text { - Never }
\end{aligned}
$$


15. The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \text { - Strongly agree } \\
& 3 \text { - Agree } \\
& 2 \text { - Neutral } \\
& 1 \text { - Disagree } \\
& 0 \text { - Strongly disagree }
\end{aligned}
$$

| The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Strongly disagree | 4 | 0.301887 | 0.30189 | 0.301887 |
|  | Disagree | 7 | 0.528302 | 0.5283 | 0.830189 |
|  | Neutral | 87 | 6.566038 | 6.56604 | 7.396226 |
|  | Agree | 1227 | 92.60377 | 92.6038 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1325 | 100 | 100 |  |
| The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process. |  |  |  |  |  |
| From the analysis, it is observed that almost all the students strongly agreed that the institutions makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process |  |  |  |  |  |

16. The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \text { - To a great extent } \\
& 3 \text { - Moderate } \\
& 2 \text { - Some what } \\
& 1 \text { - Very little } \\
& 0 \text { - Not at all }
\end{aligned}
$$

| The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem-solving methodologies for enhancing learning |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|  | Not at all | 10 | 0.754717 | 0.75472 | 0.754717 |
|  | Very little | 13 | 0.981132 | 0.98113 | 1.735849 |
| Valid | Some what | 91 | 6.867925 | 6.86792 | 8.603774 |
|  | Moderate | 1211 | 91.39623 | 91.3962 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1325 | 100 | 100 |  |
| The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problemsolving methodologies for enhancing learning |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1200 |  |  |  |  | 1211 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 800 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 600 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200 |  | 13 | 91 |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Not at all | Very 1 | Some what |  | Moderate |

From the analysis, it is observed that maximum of the students strongly agreed that the institute/teacher use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem-
17. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities.

4 - Strongly agree
3 - Agree
2 - Neutral
1 - Disagree
0 - Strongly disagree

| Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Strongly disagree | 8 | 0.603774 | 0.60377 | 0.603774 |
|  | Disagree | 12 | 0.90566 | 0.90566 | 1.509434 |
|  | Neutral | 102 | 7.698113 | 7.69811 | 9.207547 |
|  | Agree | 1203 | 90.79245 | 90.7925 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1325 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From the analysis, it is observed that every student felt that teachers encourage them to participate in extracurricular activities. |  |  |  |  |  |

18. Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \text { - To a great extent } \\
& 3 \text { - Moderate } \\
& 2 \text { - Some what } \\
& 1 \text { - Very little } \\
& 0 \text { - Not at all }
\end{aligned}
$$

Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Not at all | 12 | 0.90566 | 0.90566 | 0.90566 |
|  | Very little | 9 | 0.679245 | 0.67925 | 1.584906 |
|  | Some what | 78 | 5.886792 | 5.88679 | 7.471698 |
|  | Moderate | 1226 | 92.5283 | 92.5283 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1325 | 100 | 100 |  |

Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.


From the analysis, it is observed that the almost all the students agreed that the efforts are made by the institute/teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work
19. What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4-\text { Above } 90 \% \\
& 3-7089 \% \\
& 2-5069 \% \\
& 1-3049 \% \\
& 0-\text { Below } 29 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

| What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | 30\%-49\% | 5 | 0.377358 | 0.37736 | 0.377358 |
|  | 50\%-69\% | 8 | 0.603774 | 0.60377 | 0.981132 |
|  | 70\%-89\% | 67 | 5.056604 | 5.0566 | 6.037736 |
|  | Above 90\% | 1245 | 93.96226 | 93.9623 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1325 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  |  | entage of jector, | hers use edia, etc. | tools such as le teaching. | LCD |
| From the analysis, it is observed that the majority of the students felt that above $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ of the teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching. |  |  |  |  |  |

20. The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \text { - Strongly agree } \\
& 3 \text { - Agree } \\
& 2 \text { - Neutral } \\
& 1 \text { - Disagree } \\
& 0-\text { Strongly disagree }
\end{aligned}
$$

| The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | Strongly <br> Disagree | 14 | 1.056604 | 1.0566 | 1.056604 |
|  | Disagree | 7 | 0.528302 | 0.5283 | 1.584906 |
|  | Neutral | 78 | 5.886792 | 5.88679 | 7.471698 |
|  | Agree | 1226 | 92.5283 | 92.5283 | 100 |
|  | Total | 1325 | 100 | 100 |  |
|  | The o <br> Strongly Dis | quality ins <br> Disagree | ching-lea is very | ing process <br> d. <br> Agree | your $\square$ <br> Series1 |
| From the analysis, it is observed that maximum of the students strongly agreed that overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good. |  |  |  |  |  |

21. Give three observation / suggestions to improve the overall teaching learning experience in your institution.

## a) Incorporate Technology Effectively:

- Use technology to enhance the teaching-learning experience.
- This could involve implementing interactive online platforms, virtual labs, or educational apps that cater to various learning styles.
- Using digital tools in teaching makes learning more engaging and prepares students for the technological demands of the modern world.


## b) Promote Collaborative Learning:

- Encourage collaborative learning environments both inside and outside the classroom.
- Group projects, one-to-one discussions, and collaborative problemsolving activities promote teamwork and communication skills.
- Students often appreciate the opportunity to learn from their peers, and this approach can lead to a richer and more diverse educational experience.


## c) Professional Development for Faculty:

- Continuous professional development for educators is crucial.
- Provide resources and opportunities for teachers to stay updated on the latest pedagogical methods, technological advancements, and subject matter expertise.
- This ensures that instructors are well-equipped to deliver high-quality education and adapt to evolving student needs and learning trends.

